PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 19.11.2003 10.11.2004 PCT/EP2004/012836 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C22B21/06, C22B9/00 Applicant CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. I. Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

European Patent Office

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7261

Swiatek, R

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012836

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	□ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material:
	□ a sequence listing
	□ table(s) related to the sequence listing
	o. format of material:
	☐ in written format
	□ in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing:
	□ contained in the international application as filed.
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

1. Prior art

D1: EP-A-0 375 308 (ALCAN INT LTD) 27 June 1990 (1990-06-27)

2. Novelty and inventive step (Art. 33 PCT)

The application relates to a method for refining of metals by fractional recrystallisation.

D1 discloses (see p. 2, l. 44-48) a method of fractional crystallisation, were eutectic impurities are removed from aluminium. Molten aluminium is kept in a vessel surrounded by a cooling jacket with a cooling fluid. High purity aluminium crystallizes on the cooled inner surface of the vessel.

The method of claim 1 differs from the method disclosed in D1 in that salt in a solid form is used to cool the molten metal during fractional crystallisation.

The use of a solid salt provides a better temperature control of a melt and keeps metal crystals in suspension in the molten metal reducing the risk of the their adhering to the walls of a vessel.

The problem to be solved can be seen as how to provide an improved method of cooling an at most partially solidified molten metal during fractional recrystallisation. No hint could be found in the available prior art towards the proposed solution. Therefore, inventive step is acknowledged.